

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/790,070	RHODES ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
CHRISTINE DUONG	2416	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) CHRISTINE DUONG.

(3) ____.

(2) Martin Miller.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 25 June 2009

Time: 4:00 PM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

46, 47, 53, 54, 55, 61, 65

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed adding claim 47 to claim 46; adding claims 54, 55 to claim 53; changing the limitations 'can' in lines 10, 12 and limitations 'cannot' in lines 15, 17 in claim 61; adding a limitation in claim 65 to include using ISUP talkpath if there is no compatible voice compression; discussed filing a Terminal Disclaimer with US Patent No. 6,775,270; discussed amending the first paragraph of the specification to add the US Patent No. to continuity.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Christine Duong/
 Examiner, Art Unit 2416

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

